

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2011–12

For Laytonville Community Day

Address:	PO Box 868, Laytonville, CA, 95454	Phone:	(707) 984-6123
Principal:	Ms. Lorre Stange, Principal	Grade Span:	6-12

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of information related to individual public schools. Most data presented in this report are reported for the 2011–12 school year. School finances and school completion data are reported for the 2010–11 school year. Contact information, facilities, curriculum and instructional materials, and select teacher data are reported for the 2012–13 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

The Community Day School is located in a small town in the heart of redwood country approximately 175 miles north of San Francisco. The culture of the community is enriched by the involvement of many talented local artists and musicians and by the presence of the Cahto Indian Reservation. Overall, a pioneer spirit pervades the community and independent pride asserts itself in the personality of the local population. The Community Day School is housed in a modular building at the site of the old high school. The school serves at-risk students in grades 6-12 who benefit from a self-contained classroom setting with small-group and individualized instruction.

The mission of the Laytonville Unified School District is to:

- Provide a safe environment in which our young people can achieve the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for success now, and in the future, recognizing that varied needs require varied programs.
- Insure that our students will be prepared to pursue any avenue of their choosing secure in the knowledge that they can meet any challenge.
- Establish from the earliest grades that students will be guided towards self-fulfillment, a sense of community and service to humanity.

Student Enrollment

Group	Enrollment
Number of students	9
Black or African American	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	11.1%
Asian	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%

Hispanic or Latino	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	66.7%
Two or More Races	22.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.8%
English Learners	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	11.1%

Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	1
Teachers without full credential	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0

Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on STAR* Program Results
English-Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%

*Standardized Testing and Reporting Program assessments used for accountability purposes include the California Standards Tests, the California Modified Assessment, and the California Alternate Performance Assessment.

Academic Progress*

Indicator	Result
2012 Growth API Score (from 2012 Growth API Report)	
Statewide Rank (from 2011 Base API Report)	
Met All 2012 AYP Requirements	Yes
Number of AYP Criteria Met Out of the Total Number of Criteria Possible	Met 4 of 4
2012–13 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	

*The Academic Performance Index is required under state law. Adequate Yearly Progress is required by federal law.

School Facilities

Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

The District is committed to maintaining the aging facility. The Community Day School has recently had the interior and exterior of the building painted and the asphalt resealed. The building is in good repair and well maintained. Monthly safety inspections are conducted and reports completed by the site principal and then forwarded to the Superintendent. Safety concerns are discussed quarterly with the District Advisory Committee (DAC).

Repairs Needed

None

Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

None

Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Area	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0
Mathematics	0
Science	0
History-Social Science	0
Foreign Language	0
Health	0
Visual and Performing Arts	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	0

School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$17,810
District	\$7468
State	\$5,455

School Completion

Indicator	Result
Graduation Rate (if applicable)	

Postsecondary Preparation

Measure	Percent
Pupils Who Completed a Career Technical Education Program and Earned a High School Diploma	N/A
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for University of California or California State University Admission	0.0%

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2011–12 School Year

Published During 2012–13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012–13)

School		District	
School Name	Laytonville Community Day	District Name	Laytonville Unified
Street	PO Box 868	Phone Number	(707) 984-6414
City, State, Zip	Laytonville, CA, 95454	Web Site	http://layt.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	(707) 984-6123	Superintendent	Joan Potter
Principal	Ms. Lorre Stange, Principal	E-mail Address	jvpotter@mcn.org
E-mail Address	lstange@mcn.org	CDS Code	23739162330389

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011–12)

The Community Day School is located in a small town in the heart of redwood country approximately 175 miles north of San Francisco. The culture of the community is enriched by the involvement of many talented local artists and musicians and by the presence of the Cahto Indian Reservation. Overall, a pioneer spirit pervades the community and independent pride asserts itself in the personality of the local population. The Community Day School is housed in a modular building at the site of the old high school. The school serves at-risk students in grades 6-12 who benefit from a self-contained classroom setting with small-group and individualized instruction.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011–12)

The opportunities for community involvement within the school are many and varied. The following activities provide opportunities for residents to become involved with the district and in our schools.

1. Classroom Volunteer: Volunteer help is always encouraged and welcomed in our schools. Parent volunteers often seem to drop as children advance through the grades, although the need for volunteers continues for all grades. Interested parent and community members can contact Lorre Stange, Principal, at 984-6123 for further information.
2. School Site Council: The council serves as a primary vehicle for parent/community/staff dialogue. Their responsibilities are defined by state mandates. This advisory council ensures that the school's Single School Plan is being implemented and assesses periodically the effectiveness of each program. The site council meets on the first Tuesday of each month during the school year. Interested residents should contact the school principal, Lorre Stange at 984-6123.
3. Indian Education Advisory Council: The Indian Education Parent Advisory Committee meets annually at the Cahto Tribal Center or the Family Resource Center. This council advises the coordinator of the Indian Education program, Title VII, to ensure Indian children receive educational support as well as support in improving self-concept, cultural awareness and respect. Interested residents may contact the program

coordinator, Lorre Stange at 984-6123.

4. Healthy Start: The Healthy Start Family Resource Center is in its fifteenth year of operation. The program is sustained through multiple funding sources and provides services to students, families and community members. Current projects are in the areas of access to health care, economic development, capacity building, community education, multi-agency coordination and partnership development. For more information contact the Family Resource Center at 984-8089.

5. Laytonville Unified School District Board Meetings: School Board meetings are always open to the public with agendas posted 72 hours in advance for regular Board meetings. Meetings are generally held on the first Thursday of each month. Public input and attendance are encouraged. Contact the district office at 984-6414 for more information.

6. District Advisory Committee: This committee is comprised of representatives from throughout the school district. The purpose of the committee is to advise the school board on various shared decision making topics such as the budget, school calendar and other school policies. Meetings are held once a month on the fourth Tuesday.

7. Wellness Committee: Meets as needed to develop and promote district wide health, nutrition and physical education activities. This committee serves as a subcommittee of the District Advisory Committee (DAC).

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011–12)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	6
Grade 1	0	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	0	Grade 9	1
Grade 3	0	Grade 10	1
Grade 4	0	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	0	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	1	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	Total Enrollment	9

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	11.1%
Asian	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	66.7%

Two or More Races	22.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.8%
English Learners	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	11.1%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2011–12 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
6									1	1	0	0
Other	8	1			11	1			8	1		

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011–12)

The Laytonville Community Day School's Safety Plan is a living document that strives to identify areas of improvement for school climate and school safety. Emergency Response Teams and resources have been identified. Quarterly safety meetings are held as part of the District Advisory Committee's responsibilities. In addition, the safety plan includes emergency preparation and procedures. The Safe Schools plan is reviewed in staff meetings and in DAC meetings every other month. A district-wide nutrition grant focuses on serving healthier foods in our food service program; students participate in the fruit/vegetable of the month program. All students participate in a physical education program that either meets or exceeds the required minutes of instruction. Multiple modules of the Healthy Kids Survey are administered and the results are used to determine focus areas for health education.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2009–10	District 2010–11	District 2011–12
Suspensions	3	.17	1	5.5	27	.19
Expulsions	0	0	0	.13	1.0	.002

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012–13)

The school's grounds consist of a modular building for the classroom, a blacktop area with a basketball hoop, the football field, a garden and greenhouse, and the school has access to the gym.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012–13)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		x			N/A
Interior: Interior Surfaces		x			N/A
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		x			N/A
Electrical: Electrical		x			N/A
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		x			N/A
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		x			N/A
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		x			N/A
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		x			N/A
Overall Rating		x			

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	School 2011–12	District 2011–12
With Full Credential	1	1	1	28
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010–11	2011–12	2012–13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* *Total Teacher Misassignments* includes the number of *Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners*.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011–12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011–12)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.1	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	CDS uses main campus resources	
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	N/A	
Psychologist	0	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0	

Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	CDS uses main campus resources	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other: Garden Coordinator	N/A	

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012–13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: _____

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-6: Houghton Mifflin 7-8: Holt	No	0
Mathematics	K-6: Scott Foresman 7-8: McDougal Littell Algebra: McDougal Littell	Yes	0
Science	K-6: McMillan McGraw Hill 7-8: Holt	Yes	0
History-Social Science	6-8: Teachers' Curriculum Institute	Yes	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$17,918	\$108	\$17,810	\$52,245
District			\$7468	\$55,381
Percent Difference – School Site and District			56%	5.7%

State		\$5,455	\$57,948
Percent Difference – School Site and State		69%	9.8%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011–12)

The Laytonville Unified School District provides general education services, special education services and alternative education services to children in grades K-12 in the greater Laytonville community. Library services, counseling, psychological services, child welfare and attendance services, services for English Language Learners, and remedial academic services are provided. Academic support and enrichment is provided through a comprehensive summer school program and the various after school programs.

Restricted Categorical Funds (2010-11)

The district receives State and Federal categorical funding that is legally restricted to the intended programs and purposes. The primary purpose of these funds is to provide supplemental support programs to assist all students to meet State and local performance standards and growth targets. The School Site Council oversees many of the categorical funds and recommends their use to the School Board. The administrative team works collaboratively to maximize the impact the funds have on the educational programs that support student achievement.

State Programs Amount

Economic Impact Aid (EIA):

Purpose: Help educationally disadvantaged students succeed in the regular program. \$44,571

Uses: Instructional materials, Instructional Assistants

Federal Program Amount

Title I, Part A, Basic Grants:

Purpose: To ensure that all children have a fair, equal and \$148,082

significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education, and reach minimum proficiency on the state content standards and assessments.

Uses: Title I intervention teacher, Instructional materials, Professional development

Title II, Part A, Teacher Quality:

Purpose: Improve professional development of teachers \$26,544

and administrators

Uses: Staff development, including substitute costs

Title VI, Part B, Rural Education Achievement:

Purpose: To provide educational opportunities to students \$12,247

in rural low-income communities.

Uses: Instructional Support, Materials and Field Trips

Title VII Indian Education Grant:

Purpose: To provide educational opportunities for American Indian students. \$10,752

Uses: Instructional Assistants, Tutoring

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,196	\$38,099
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$51,221	\$55,582
Highest Teacher Salary	\$71,723	\$71,884
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$85,000	\$88,790
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$93,519
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$95,671
Superintendent Salary	\$115,000	\$115,401
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32.00%	34.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	9.00%	7.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
English-Language Arts	0%	0%	0%	50%	46%	43%	52%	54%	56%
Mathematics	0%	0%	0%	43%	44%	41%	48%	50%	51%
Science	0%	0%	0%	38%	53%	43%	54%	57%	60%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	38%	34%	20%	44%	48%	49%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students in the LEA	43%	41%	43%	20%
All Students at the School	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	0%	0%	0%	0%
Female	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	0%	0%	0%	0%
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0%	0%	0%	0%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
English-Language Arts				58%	58%	34%	54%	59%	56%
Mathematics				42%	44%	19%	54%	56%	58%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year (if applicable)

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	66%	22%	13%	81%	19%	0%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011–12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5			
7			
9			

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with

a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	B		
Similar Schools	B		

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11	Actual API Change 2011–12
All Students at the School			
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School			244	735	4,664,264	788
Black or African American			8		313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native			26	631	31,606	742
Asian			3		404,670	905
Filipino			0		124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino			26	739	2,425,230	740
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			0		26,563	775
White			171	752	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races			7		88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			158	702	2,779,680	737
English Learners			10		1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities			11	511	530,935	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011–12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No

Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	No
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012–13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations* Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		2
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		33.3%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the *UC Admissions Information* Web page at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores

- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the *CSU Web page at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>*. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
Dropout Rate		0.0	0.0		2.6	16.1		16.6	14.4
Graduation Rate		N/A	N/A		94.87	74.19		74.72	76.26

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

The principal is the instructional leader at the Community Day School. The district's academic curricula are aligned with the State Frameworks and Content Standards. Various state publications emphasizing research-based strategies are used as guidelines for creating curriculum that will help our students be prepared for the future. The staff collaborates during weekly staff meetings devoted to discussing student progress, assessment, curriculum implementation and extracurricular programs. English Language Arts and Math intervention programs are being developed and implemented. Portfolios of student work, combined with local and state assessments, provide an accurate representation of student achievement.

The Community Day School professional development focuses on strategies to assist all students in meeting or exceeding the California state academic achievement standards (proficient or advanced levels), including integrating standards based curriculum, instructional practice, assessment, and understanding the strengths and needs of our student population. The Professional Development Plan encourages that activities are based on the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. All staff are either CLAD certified or have participated in Specifically Designed Academic Instruction in English (SDAIE) or C-TEL methods where specific teaching strategies are used to meet the needs of English Language Learners. The district supports minimum days on Fridays during the school year. These days allow us to meet as teams to plan curriculum, discuss student achievement, revise assessments, discuss school safety and work on other necessary and essential components of the school program. The district staff development program continues to encourage teachers to participate in various professional development opportunities. Some of these may include:

1. Participation in professional development during the three paid teacher in-service training days

2. Participation in Friday common planning time; students have access to the computer lab at 2:00 p.m.

3. Participation in Summer Institutes

4. Participation in professional growth conferences with expenses and substitute costs covered by various categorical funds including Indian Education, Title VII, Title II, Special Education, School-Library Block Grant, GATE, Title I funds and others.

Laytonville Community Day

School Accountability Report Card, 2011-2012

Laytonville Unified

Provided by the Ed-Data Partnership

For more information visit www.ed-data.org